1 2 3

4

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

dismissed for failure to state a claim. The Court is under a continuing duty to dismiss an IFP case whenever the Court determines that the action "fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

Plaintiff has named eighty defendants, including governmental agencies, judges, police, hospitals, hotels, banks, grocery stores, libraries, and universities. His 62-page complaint is a rambling account of various acts of malfeasance and conspiracies. Plaintiff has failed to meet the pleading standards set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 and has failed to allege facts supporting a plausible claim for relief. Therefore, Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is **GRANTED**, and Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED** for failure to state a claim. The Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff leave to file a First Amended Complaint. If Plaintiff chooses to file a First Amended Complaint, he must do so on or before January 20, 2012. Failure to do so will result in the closing of this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 15, 2011

Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz United States District Judge